UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,373	09/08/2003	Ryan A. Kaiser	5490-000361	9431
27572 HARNESS DI	7590 05/31/2007	EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/657,373	KAISER ET AL.			
omoc Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Kevin T. Truong	3734			
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	uon appears on the cover sheet w	ntn tne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>01 March 200</i> 7.				
	☐ This action is non-final.				
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,2,7-9,12-17,20-27,30,31 and	d 34-39 is/are pending in the app	lication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 7-9, 12-17, 20-27, 30-31,</u>	and 34-39 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to be	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		<u> </u>			
 Certified copies of the priority do 	cuments have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority do					
3. Copies of the certified copies of		n received in this National Stage			
application from the Internationa					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/657,373

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Note: This is in response to an amendment filed 03/01/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 12-17, 20-27, 30-31, and 34-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wenstrom, Jr. et al. (U.S. 6,045,573) in view of Hurson (U.S. 5,833,463).

Wenstrom discloses in figures 1-5, a suture securing portion (30) coupled to a bone anchoring portion (20); wherein the suture securing portion (30) having an eyelet (90) through which a suture (150) is threaded; and furthermore, wherein the eyelet (90) is coated with titanium alloy which equivalents to the claimed invention (see col. 3, lines 23-41). However, Wenstrom does not disclose the surface finish for the anchor or the eyelet as described in the claimed invention. Hurson teaches that it is known in the surgical art to have bone anchor that has titanium anodize surface finish as recited in the claimed invention (see col. 7, line 52 thru col. 8, line 6).

As a result, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Wenstrom's bone anchor with titanium anodize surface finish as taught by Hurson for the sole purpose of anodizing

Application/Control Number: 10/657,373

Art Unit: 3734

bone screws is to make the surface more compatible with one and tissue and less subject to corrosion by body fluids.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 03/01/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 17, and 31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

Art Unit: 3734

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kévin T. Truong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734

ktt